



1FW

Appl. No. : 10/764,662 **Confirmation No.** 8802
Applicant : Schwab, et al.
Filed : January 26, 2004
TC/A.U. : 1772
Examiner : Miggins, Michael C.

Docket No. : T32-231-010
Customer No. : N/A

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed December 16, 2005.

The Election with Traverse and Remarks/Arguments begin on the first page of this paper.

ELECTION:

In response to the methods and requirements, Applicant elect, with traverse, Group I, claims 1-18.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

It is respectfully pointed out that the restriction requirement is improper and should be withdrawn and an action on the merits issued on all the claims in the application.

First, the products of Group II cannot be made by a different process as alleged by the Examiner. Claims 19 to 22 require that the composite be pyrolyzed by a beam of high frequency